

**H S**  
Our Hat Department is booming.  
Stiff hats for men and boys, 75c and upward. Soft hats for men, 50c and upward. Soft hats for boys, 25c and upward.

**A T**  
In children's caps, for fall and winter, we have a great stock at 25c and 30c, that sold last season at 40c and 50c. Here's a bargain. A fine line of plush caps at 35c and upward.

**T A**  
We sell a fine \$2 hat at \$1.50. No mistake about it. Come and see. Also, non-breakable stiff hats, in Miller, Yeoman and Dunlap styles, at \$3. Regular price \$3.50.

**S H**  
ALL AT THE  
Original Eagle Hat Department  
Stores:  
5 & 7 W. Wash. and 16 S. Meridian.

## MURPHY, HIBBEN & CO

### Woolen Department--Late Importations.

F. & H. Suitings,  
F. & H. Broadcloths,  
F. & H. Cheviots,  
F. & H. Ladies' Cloths, all shades,  
Black Diagonals and Doeskins.  
Stock complete in all departments. Lowest prices available with certainty.

## MURPHY, HIBBEN & CO

Cleveland, Cincinnati,  
Chicago & St. Louis.

TIME-CARD.	
CLEVELAND DIVISION.	
Depart--7:30 am.	7:45 am.
Arrive--7:00 am.	7:15 am.
CHICAGO & CINCINNATI DIVISION--EAST.	
Depart--7:30 am.	7:45 am.
Arrive--10:25 am.	10:40 am.
CHICAGO & CINCINNATI DIVISION--WEST.	
Depart--7:10 am.	7:25 am.
Arrive--3:30 am.	3:45 am.
PEORIA DIVISION--WEST.	
Depart--7:45 am.	8:00 am.
Arrive--3:25 am.	3:40 am.
PEORIA DIVISION--EAST.	
Depart--5:50 am.	6:05 am.
Arrive--1:10 am.	1:25 am.
ST. LOUIS DIVISION.	
Depart--7:30 am.	7:45 am.
Arrive--3:20 am.	3:35 am.
Daily. (Sunday call.)	

## C, H. & D. R. R.

### The Pullman Vestibule Line

## D. 5 TRAINS DAILY

## R. CINCINNATI

## ONLY LINE

## DETROIT and TOLEDO

Leaving Indianapolis in the evening, by which you can secure SLEEPING-CAR ACCOMMODATION, reaching Toledo and Detroit next morning. Trains arrive and depart as follows:

FOR CINCINNATI AND DAYTON.	
Depart--7:30 am.	7:45 am.
Arrive--12:35 am.	1:50 am.
FOR DETROIT.	
Depart--7:30 am.	7:45 am.
Arrive--12:35 am.	1:50 am.
Daily. (Sunday except Sunday.)	

R. J. REID, General Agent.

## GROSS BOULEVARD OUTRAGE.

Major Dunbar, Republican Candidate for Congress, "Egged" by Brown County Democrats.

Special to the Indianapolis Journal.

MARTINSVILLE, Ind., Oct. 21.—News has just reached this city concerning an "egging" Major John G. Dunbar received a day or two ago in Nashville, Brown county, at the hands of a howling mob of Democrats. Major Dunbar is the Republican candidate for Congress in this district. Mr. Dunbar delivered a telling speech at the public hall in that place Saturday afternoon to a large audience, and while on his way from the hall to the hotel, after the meeting, was assaulted as stated above. Many of the better class of Democrats of that region are outspoken in their denunciation of such contemptible conduct, and the act will cost the Democratic party several votes.

Brown county is in the Fifth congressional district, now represented by Mr. Cooper, who is also a candidate for re-election. It is the home of the "mossback" in its primitive state, and until several years ago had few schools and fewer newspapers. Major Dunbar is a brave ex-soldier, and popular with the farmers, many of whom in Brown county, as elsewhere in the district, have signified their intention of voting for him. It was this, probably, that led a few of the rabid element to commit the outrage at Nashville on Saturday.

A dispatch from Columbus says that on Monday night Messrs. Dunbar, Doup and Demaree, after speaking to a fair-sized audience at Nashville, repaired to the Mason Hotel for the night. While in the parlors of the hotel they were attacked from the outside by a party of hoodlums who threw stones, smashing in the windows of the hotel and causing the three candidates to leave the room in great haste to escape personal injury.

Will Pay Dollar for Dollar.

CHICAGO, Oct. 21.—A gentleman who has just returned from Detroit is responsible for the statement that when the affairs of R. G. Peters & Co., who recently failed at Manistee, are sifted to the bottom, it will be found that the big lumber firm may be able to pay dollar for dollar. Mr. Peters' own estimate of his liabilities places his liabilities in the neighborhood of \$1,750,000, and his assets between \$4,000,000 and \$5,000,000. Conservative estimates, however, increase the liabilities and reduce the assets considerably. Still the general opinion is that the estate will, if properly managed, pay dollar for dollar and leave a good margin.

Had Railway Wreck Reported.

CINCINNATI, Oct. 21.—Late to-night a report reached here of a bad railway wreck at Somerset, Ky. Efforts to obtain particulars or a confirmation of the rumor through Lexington and Louisville have been unavailing.

Fair weather; increasing cloudiness.

## BARGAIN COUNTERS

We to-day place on BARGAIN COUNTER

82 Men's Overcoats  
Have reduced price from

\$25 to \$18.00.  
\$22 to \$12.00 and \$14.  
\$20 to \$12.00 and \$13.  
\$18 to \$10.50 and \$12.  
\$16 to \$10.00.  
\$14 to \$9, etc., etc.

These coats are taken from broken lines. Nothing the matter with them, and if we can fit you, you will get a great bargain. Try it.

## THE WHEN

Special to the Indianapolis Journal.

EDINBURGH, Oct. 21.—Mr. Gladstone delivered the first speech of his Scotch campaign, to-day, in the Corn Exchange, this city. There was a tremendous crush of people eager to see and hear the great Liberal leader, and when the hall had been crowded to suffocation thousands of disappointed persons were left outside to return home or listen to speakers of lesser note, who addressed overflow meetings in the open air. The audience in the hall numbered about five thousand. Mr. Gladstone was enthusiastically greeted on making his appearance. He was in excellent health, and his voice was clear and strong. He spoke without visible sign of fatigue.

In opening his address he remarked that, though many questions of importance were before the public, the problem which continued to eclipse all others and to demand the almost undivided attention of those who strove to promote the well-being of the nation, was the Irish problem. The country had come to recognize the fact that this question must be settled before other questions could be dealt with. It was one which affected the health and vitality of the state so intimately that, in truth, no other question could be properly considered while this remained in menace. The opponents of some rule hoodlums, and the supporters of the status quo, were both equally culpable in neglecting themselves not to rely upon brute force in the government of Ireland. They had promised to provide an efficient system of local self-government for that part of the kingdom. They had put themselves on record as opposed to any advances from the British treasury to the aid of the Irish people, and they had refused to grant them the aid which they had promised.

The very first important measure proposed by the present government on its accession to power was a measure for the government of the Irish people by coercion. This had been the favorite bantling of the "Tory" leaders. True, a local government bill had been brought in, but it was a badly neglected bill, and it was rapidly dwindling into nothingness. As to the third pledge, the one which had been made by the government in regard to the expropriation of Irish estates, there was a provision by which forty-five per cent of the land, drawn from the British people by taxation, was to be placed in the pockets of holders of land in Ireland.

Mr. Gladstone proceeded to discuss the provisions of the land-purchase bill, and subjected the measure to a severe criticism, in which his well-known skill in financial matters was exhibited to great advantage. He elicited round after round of applause from his closely attentive audience.

Recurring to the subject of coercive methods of government, he remarked that the Conservative vote credit upon themselves for setting Ireland right by means of a firm and resolute government. But so poorly had they carried out the right to which they boasted that it might truly be said that defective though the law was, the administration of it was worse than the law itself. Indeed, the administration was so defective that it might truly be said that the law was not only defective, but it was also unenforced.

He would not hesitate to declare that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

## GLADSTONE SPEAKS TO SCOTS

Tremendous Outpouring of People to Hear the Distinguished Statesman.

The Irish Problem Discussed with Old-Time Vigor and Balfour Severely Criticized for His Harsh and Coercive Methods.

Misconduct of the Police at Tipperary Condemned in Forceful Terms.

Absentism of Officials and Landlords Pointed Out as the Greatest Evil Ireland Has to Endure—Other Foreign News.

GLADSTONE AT EDINBURGH.

The Irish Statesman Speaks to a vast and Enthusiastic Audience of Scotchmen. Special to the Indianapolis Journal.

EDINBURGH, Oct. 21.—Mr. Gladstone delivered the first speech of his Scotch campaign, to-day, in the Corn Exchange, this city. There was a tremendous crush of people eager to see and hear the great Liberal leader, and when the hall had been crowded to suffocation thousands of disappointed persons were left outside to return home or listen to speakers of lesser note, who addressed overflow meetings in the open air. The audience in the hall numbered about five thousand. Mr. Gladstone was enthusiastically greeted on making his appearance. He was in excellent health, and his voice was clear and strong. He spoke without visible sign of fatigue.

In opening his address he remarked that, though many questions of importance were before the public, the problem which continued to eclipse all others and to demand the almost undivided attention of those who strove to promote the well-being of the nation, was the Irish problem. The country had come to recognize the fact that this question must be settled before other questions could be dealt with. It was one which affected the health and vitality of the state so intimately that, in truth, no other question could be properly considered while this remained in menace. The opponents of some rule hoodlums, and the supporters of the status quo, were both equally culpable in neglecting themselves not to rely upon brute force in the government of Ireland. They had promised to provide an efficient system of local self-government for that part of the kingdom. They had put themselves on record as opposed to any advances from the British treasury to the aid of the Irish people, and they had refused to grant them the aid which they had promised.

The very first important measure proposed by the present government on its accession to power was a measure for the government of the Irish people by coercion. This had been the favorite bantling of the "Tory" leaders. True, a local government bill had been brought in, but it was a badly neglected bill, and it was rapidly dwindling into nothingness. As to the third pledge, the one which had been made by the government in regard to the expropriation of Irish estates, there was a provision by which forty-five per cent of the land, drawn from the British people by taxation, was to be placed in the pockets of holders of land in Ireland.

Mr. Gladstone proceeded to discuss the provisions of the land-purchase bill, and subjected the measure to a severe criticism, in which his well-known skill in financial matters was exhibited to great advantage. He elicited round after round of applause from his closely attentive audience.

Recurring to the subject of coercive methods of government, he remarked that the Conservative vote credit upon themselves for setting Ireland right by means of a firm and resolute government. But so poorly had they carried out the right to which they boasted that it might truly be said that defective though the law was, the administration of it was worse than the law itself. Indeed, the administration was so defective that it might truly be said that the law was not only defective, but it was also unenforced.

He would not hesitate to declare that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

He would not say that the Irish people ought to hate the law; though he would not say that they ought to hate the British people, he would say that they ought to hate the law which was enforced by the British people.

eral principles. Justice to Ireland would rid the empire of an intolerable nuisance and deep disgrace, and would give to a brighter glow than any former years the closing tribute to Mr. Gladstone's reign.

Mr. Gladstone's address was frequently interrupted by applause, and its close was greeted by long continued cheering. After a brief rest, Mr. Gladstone, with his wife and a few intimate friends, attended the concert of Mrs. Patti. A dense crowd gathered outside the building and wildly cheered the ex-Premier as he entered. His appearance in the hall was the signal for another outburst of enthusiasm. After the concert he had a long interview with Mrs. Patti, and at its conclusion the famous songstress presented the great statesman with a box of voice lozenges, which he accepted with evident pleasure.

GENERAL FOREIGN NEWS.

The Recent Revolt in Switzerland Due to an Embellishment of a Million Francs.

BRUXELLES, Oct. 21.—The evidence obtained in the city of Brussels, Belgium, against the cantonal Bank against the government of the canton, arising from the embezzlement of 1,000,000 francs by the treasurer of the canton, shows that the embezzlement was intimately connected with the revolt that occurred in the canton last September. It is said that Signor Resnais, the head of the cantonal government, has in his possession documents which compromise a number of influential men in political life. He will sift the charges and will not spare the persons implicated in the embezzlement. The insurgents obtained many letters respecting the suit where they had possession of the government offices, but were unable to procure other documents which were locked in the official boxes, to which they had no keys.

A Magnanimous French Duelist.

PARIS, Oct. 21.—The duel between M. Paul Deroulede and M. Reinach, growing out of the debate in the Chamber of Deputies yesterday relative to the action of the government against the boulangists, in the course of which M. Deroulede had declared M. Reinach by describing him as "that lackey of all administrations," took place at an early hour this morning. The weapons used were pistols. Twenty-five paces were marked off by the seconds, and the principals, after exchanging a few words, declared that they declined to accept the duel. M. Reinach's seconds then proposed that the duel should be fought on foot, and M. Deroulede declined to assent to this proposal.

Refused to Summons Balfour.

TIPPERARY, Oct. 21.—The trial of the defendants indicted for conspiracy was resumed before Magistrates Irwin and Shannon. Mr. Michael O'Brien, one of the defendants, asked that a summons be issued against Mr. Balfour compelling him to appear before the court and state under oath what he said in his recent speech at Newcastle. The court declined to issue a summons, and the trial was adjourned. Mr. Balfour's speech at Newcastle, in which he declared that the government was not responsible for the actions of the Irish people, was the subject of the trial.

Longest Bridge in Europe.

VIENNA, Oct. 21.—The King of Romania to-day officiated in the ceremony of laying the foundation stone for a new railway bridge across the Danube at Tcheravoda, a Rumanian town. In a speech after the ceremony the King declared that the bridge would be the longest in Europe, and that it would mark an epoch in the history, shortening as it would the route from the North to the Black sea.